



Resilience management guidelines and Operationalization applied to Urban Transport Environment

European Resilience Management Guidelines

Lila Gaitanidou <u>lgait@certh.gr</u> CERTH/HIT

RESOLUTE 2nd Workshop Athens, 19/10/2016

Co-ordinated by



www.resolue-eu.org #RESOLUTE



Objectives

- To define the **methodology** for the production of European Resilience Management Guidelines
- To develop European Resilience Management Guidelines through an iterative and multi-actor process
- To adapt the ERMG for the case of the Urban Transport System, in order for them to be tested and validated in the RESOLUTE pilots





ERMG Methodology – A step-wise approach



Co-funded by the European Union under H2020 DRS' 07-2014

Resilience Management Framework







RESOLUTE conceptual framework



ERMG production methodology







From work as done to work as desired

From "Work as Imagined" to "Work as Done" (FRAM paradigm shift)

- "Work-As-Imagined" describes what should happen under nominal working conditions.
- "Work- As-Done", on the other hand, describes what actually happens, how work unfolds over time in a concrete situation



From "Work as Done" to "Work as Desired" (RESOLUTE extension)

The interesting thing from the guidelines perspective is to identify which functions are needed and how their interdependencies and variability should be managed to enhance resilience; and this requires going beyond the "Work-As-Done" level





ERMG definition methodology







Co-funded by the European Union under H2020 DRS' 07-2014 Desired functions and mapping against sustained adaptability concepts







Co-funded by the European Union under H2020 DRS' 07-2014

Desired interdependencies

STEP 2







How to dampen variability







Modeling CI and mapping against Sustained Union under H2020 DRS' 07-2014 Adaptability Concepts



www.resolute-eu.org_#RESOLUTE

Critical Infrastructure - The EU perspective

- European Programme for Critical Infrastructure 2008 Directive on European Critical Infrastructure - ECI (2008/114/EC) 2008 Critical Infrastructure Warning Information Network (CIWIN) 2010 Risk Assessment and Mapping Guidelines for Disaster Management - Commission Staff Working Document Review of the EPCIP – Commission Staff Working 2012 document A new approach to the EPCIP- Making ECI more secure - Commission Staff Working document
- Critical Infrastructure is an asset or system which is essential for the maintenance of vital societal functions.
- The damage to a critical infrastructure, its destruction or disruption by natural disasters, terrorism, criminal activity or malicious behaviour, may have a significant negative impacts for the security of the EU and the well-being of its citizens



2006



European Resilience Management Guidelines for Critical Infrastructure

The need

 Guidance to Critical Infrastructure owners/managers to effectively and standardised organize and strengthen their facilities, personnel and any other kind of assets, in order to confront the needs for resilience against any kind of risks.







How to use the ERMG?

- Level I: comparison between the "desired functions" defined in ERMG against the functions identified through a FRAM analysis of the CI under assessment.
- Level II: assessment about how the functions implemented in the assessed CI are actually aligned with the ERMG recommendations.
- Level III: function interdependencies assessment. The missing connections between functions in the CI assessed may suggest that information or resources are not properly supplied or shared, creating vulnerability in the system.





ERMG Basic structure



Additional Material - Boxes



EU level Recommendations

Develop and promote a shared body of knowledge and a common understanding of resilience

Develop & improve guidance materials & tools according to real needs, success/failure cases & technology advancement

Raise awareness and preparedness for different stakeholders through resilience based training programs

Promote a socio – economical "value" perspective of resilience

Undertake specific research on resilience







Functions

Anticipate

- Develop Strategic Plan
- Manage financial affairs
- Perform Risk Assessment
- Coordinate Service delivery
- Manage awareness & user behaviour
- Develop/update procedures
- Manage human resources
- Training staff
- Manage ICT resources
- Maintain physical/cyber infrastructure

Monitor

- Monitor Safety and Security
- Monitor Operations
- Monitor Resource availability
- Monitor user generated feedback

Respond

- Coordinate emergency actions
- Restore/Repair operations

Learn

- Provide adaptation & improvement insights
- Collect event information



	Function description	
	Input	What should start the function? What should the function act on or change?
	Output	What should be the output or results of the function?
)	Preconditi on	What should be in place so that you can complete the function normally?
	Resource	What resources do you need to perform the function, such as people, equipment, IT, power, buildings, etc.?
)	Control	Should there be any formal procedures or instructions or people, such as supervisors controlling the function? Should there be there any priorities or specific constraints?
)	Time	Should be there any time related to the function or a certain time where you have to perform the function?



Recommendations provided based on...

Common Conditions

- Availability of resources
- Training and experience
- Quality of communication
- Human Computer Interaction and operational support
- Availability of procedures and plans
- Conditions of work
- Number of goals and conflict resolution
- Available time and time pressure
- Circadian rhythm and stress
- Team collaboration quality
- Quality and support of the organization

Interdependencies

- Function 1
- Function 2



Lila Gaitanidou, CERTH/HIT RESOLUTE 2nd Workshop Athens, 19/10/2016

Collection and Integration of...

- Existing best practices in the corresponding field of the function
- Existing standards in the corresponding filed of the function
- Recommendation from experts
- New recommendations coming from theory and last findings
- New recommendations coming rom last technological developments and trends (e.g. loT, Big Data, etc.

...in a unique holistic perspective



Cross-sector variability

- The performance conditions should be described in terms of their variability and potential impacts on uncertainty.
- The guidelines built around the functional system perspective are related to this through the performance conditions.
- The purpose is to address guidelines to provide support in coping with the identified variability and potential uncertainty.





Cross-sector variability

- A <u>matrix</u> was built to facilitate the relation between the functional system description and the human, technical and organisational features that may give shape to CI, relating each of the CI sectors to the set of eleven performance conditions has been built.
 - The Y axis represents the critical sectors as identified in the EU Directive in order to ground the guideline approach on concrete human, technical and organisational aspects;
 - the X axis represents the 11 common performance conditions (defined by Hollnagel) linking each of the contexts to the contents of each function, thus relating to the system functional perspective on which the guidelines were produced.





Co-funded by the European Union under H2020 DRS' 07-2014

Cross CI interdependencies



Co-funded by the European Union under H2020 DRS' 07-2014

Cross CI interdependencies









ERMG Impact



Drive modifications in organisation and functions implementation

Focus on resource availability and allocation as key factor for resilience

Understanding the importance of (open/big) data generated by the system and "how-to" manage them to support the resilience phases.

Develop a culture of safety and of expecting unexpected

Build an organizational knowledge of the past events and establish a cyclical learning process

Inform and get informed all the stakeholders continuously

Being Open to society

Being Open to science and technologies



Guidelines

Management

Resilience

European



ERMG Adaptation to Urban Transport System (UTS)

Co-funded by the European Union under H2020 DRS' 07-2014

Scope

 Adapt the generic ERMG within the framework of Urban Transport Systems (UTS)

Means

- Specifying & "translating" the suggested recommendations in terms of the characteristics, the needs and criticalities of UTS.
- Describing indicative operational scenarios,
- Discussing the interdependencies of UTS with other critical infrastructures.





Why UTS?

A system of resilience criticality

System complexity

Large scale networks

Multiple modes

Multiple industries

Multiple operators – scattered responsibility

Public-private mix

Multiple recipients

Critical to economy

Threats exposure

Climate change – extreme weather events Man-made threats (e.g. terrorism) Operational threats (day-to-day mistakes) Traffic disruptions (congestion, accidents)

.....





UTS resilience – Main principles

Prevent incidents within control and responsibility, effectively protect critical assets.

Respond decisively to events that cannot be prevented, mitigate loss and protect employees, passengers and emergency respondents.

Support response to events that impact local communities, integrating equipment and capabilities seamlessly into the total effort.

Recover from major events, taking full advantage of available resources and programs.





Co-funded by the European Union under H2020 DRS' 07-2014

Guidelines adaptation to UTS

Application of the generic guidelines for resilience management in the specific case study of the Urban Transport System

Accordance with existing practices, lessons learnt and national approaches worldwide. Provide guidance under the EU perspective, taking into account the already existing EU initiatives on Urban Transport System Resilience.

Following the structure of generic ERMG, organized under the four categories: Anticipate, Monitor, Respond and Learn.





Cross-sector variability for UTS

- A <u>similar matrix</u> was built to investigate UTS, relating each of its critical sub-sectors to the set of eleven performance conditions.
 - The Y axis represents the critical sub-sectors of UTS (Public Road Transport, Private Road Transport, Heavy rail Transport and Light Rail Transport) in order to ground the guideline approach on concrete human, technical and organisational aspects;
 - the X axis represents the 11 common performance conditions (defined by Hollnagel) linking each of the contexts to the contents of each function, thus relating to the system functional perspective on which the guidelines were produced.





Cross – sector interdependencies



Conclusions

Innovative approaches have been followed

Current framework in resilience management in EU and beyond considered

High impact results

More than 250 guidelines produced (18 functions x 13 guidelines categories)

ERMG to be further elaborated through pilot implementation & validation & ASB review.

Final products expected by the end of the project





May the...Resilience be with you!

